

3D Gaussian Splatting for Real-Time Radiance Field Rendering

Bernard Kerbl et al. Presented by: Paolo Didier Alfano







Input Image



Input Image

Train

0







Input Image



New views!



Amazing motivational slide





Amazing motivational slide











NeRF recap

Gaussian splatting

Conclusions



a) NN input:

point from ray





a) NN input: b) NN output: point from ray Point color and density





a) NN input: b) NN output: c) Overall ray point from ray Point color and density Points together





a) NN input: b) NN output: c) Overall ray d) Loss point from ray Point color and density points together



Images & rays





Images & rays





We have:

Images

Camera pose



Images & rays





We have:

Images

Camera pose

Dataset size? #images $\cdot \#$

 $\#images \cdot \#pixels \cdot \#points$





NeRF recap

Gaussian splatting

Conclusions

Rendering efficiency?

NeRF: 0.071 fps



Rendering efficiency?

NeRF: 0.071 fps

Common problem:



Rendering efficiency?

NeRF:	0.071 fps
-------	-----------

Common problem:

InstantNGP 9.2 fps

Plenoxels 8.2 fps



Training efficiency?

NeRF: 48 hrs



Training efficiency?

NeRF: 48 hrs

Why? $\#images \cdot \#pixels \cdot \#points$



Training efficiency?

NeRF: 48 hrs

Why? $\#images \cdot \#pixels \cdot \#points$

NN inference, for every sample





SfM Points

























No neural networks!





No neural networks!

Modern ML + traditional computer vision methods









Input:

Multiple images





Input:

Multiple images

Output:

Reconstruct camera poses







Input:

Multiple images

Output:

Reconstruct camera poses

Side effect:

3D sparse point cloud





Good for initialization







Good for initialization

If no point cloud:

Random initialization

Worse loss values




Gaussian resembling an ellipsoid





Gaussian resembling an ellipsoid

Defined by

Position: X, Y, Z





Gaussian resembling an ellipsoid

Defined by

Position: X, Y, Z

Covariance: 3x3 matrix





Gaussian resembling an ellipsoid

Defined by

Position: X, Y, Z

Covariance: 3x3 matrix

Color: RGB





Gaussian resembling an ellipsoid

Defined by

Position: X, Y, Z

Covariance: 3x3 matrix

Color: RGB

Alpha transparency: α





• Represent limited area in space



- Represent limited area in space
- Theoretically infinite extent Defined everywhere



• Represent limited area in space

• Theoretically infinite extent Defined everywhere

Good for optimization



• Represent limited area in space

• Theoretically infinite extent Defined everywhere

Good for optimization

$$f_i(p) = \sigma(\alpha_i) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(p - \mu_i)\Sigma_i^{-1}(p - \mu_i))$$







Get point cloud / random init







Get point cloud / random init

For every point Place a 3D gaussian centered on it





Get point cloud / random init

For every point Place a 3D gaussian centered on it

Only at initialization, isotropic gaussians

























Projection



Set of 3D gaussian



Projection



Set of 3D gaussian

Project mean and covariance into 2D plane..



Projection



Set of 3D gaussian

Project mean and covariance into 2D plane..

..easy to compute impact to a certain pixel













Suppose we have many







Suppose we have many

We can produce:







Suppose we have many

We can produce:



Aim: give color to whole ray





Suppose we have many

We can produce:



Aim: give color to whole ray Why? determine pixel color associated to ray







Point t color









Point t color



 $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t),\mathbf{d})$







Point t color

Weighted on density (glass)



$$\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t),\mathbf{d})$$





Point t color

Weighted on density (glass)

Weighted on light already stopped

 $T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t),\mathbf{d})$





Point t color

UniGe

Weighted on density (glass)

Weighted on light already stopped

Sum of all points along ray

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d})dt$$







$$T(t) = \exp\left(-\int_{t_n}^t \sigma(\mathbf{r}(s))ds\right)$$

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d})dt$$





Only 64 points per ray

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d})dt$$





Only 64 points per ray

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d})dt$$

Integral finite approximation:

$$\hat{C}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) T_i =$$

$$\delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$$





Only 64 points per ray

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d})dt$$

Integral finite approximation:

$$\hat{C}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) T_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sigma_j \delta_j) =$$

 $\delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$





Only 64 points per ray

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d})dt$$

Integral finite approximation:

$$\hat{C}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) T_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sigma_j \delta_j) =$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \underbrace{(1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i))}_{\alpha_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \underbrace{\exp(-\sigma_j \delta_j)}_{1 - \alpha_j} = \delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$$





Only 64 points per ray

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{t_n}^{t_f} T(t)\sigma(\mathbf{r}(t))\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{r}(t), \mathbf{d})dt$$

Integral finite approximation:

$$\hat{C}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) T_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i)) \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sigma_j \delta_j) =$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \underbrace{(1 - \exp(-\sigma_i \delta_i))}_{\alpha_i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \underbrace{\exp(-\sigma_j \delta_j)}_{1 - \alpha_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \alpha_i \underbrace{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \alpha_j)}_{transmittance} \delta_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$$



Comparison





NeRF



Comparison







NeRF

Gaussian Splatting






















Faster?



• No NN inference for every point # pixels $\cdot \#$ points









Faster?

- No NN inference for every point # pixels $\cdot \#$ points
- Every gaussian, one projection across pixels





Sorting algorithm



Sort gaussian by depth:

determine transmittance





Sorting algorithm



Sort gaussian by depth:

determine transmittance

2D view:

tiled in 4x4 grid parallelization





































 $\mathcal{L} = (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{L}_1 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{\text{D-SSIM}}$ $\lambda = 0.2$











 $\mathcal{L} = (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{L}_1 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{\text{D-SSIM}}$ $\lambda = 0.2$













Every 100 iterations:

Under-Reconstruction check







Every 100 iterations:

Under-Reconstruction check

Over-Reconstruction check







Every 100 iterations:



Alpha check





































Dataset	Mip-NeRF360						
Method Metric	SSIM [↑]	<i>PSNR</i> [↑]	LPIPS↓	Train	FPS	Mem	
Plenoxels	0.626	23.08	0.463	25m49s	6.79	2.1GB	
INGP-Base	0.671	25.30	0.371	5m37s	11.7	13MB	
INGP-Big	0.699	25.59	0.331	7m30s	9.43	48MB	
M-NeRF360	0.792 [†]	27.69 [†]	0.237 [†]	48h	0.06	8.6MB	
Ours-7K	0.770	25.60	0.279	6m25s	160	523MB	
Ours-30K	0.815	27.21	0.214	41m33s	134	734MB	





Dataset	Tanks&Temples						
Method Metric	SSIM [↑]	<i>PSNR</i> [↑]	LPIPS↓	Train	FPS	Mem	
Plenoxels	0.719	21.08	0.379	25m5s	13.0	2.3GB	
INGP-Base	0.723	21.72	0.330	5m26s	17.1	13MB	
INGP-Big	0.745	21.92	0.305	6m59s	14.4	48MB	
M-NeRF360	0.759	22.22	0.257	48h	0.14	8.6MB	
Ours-7K	0.767	21.20	0.280	6m55s	197	270MB	
Ours-30K	0.841	23.14	0.183	26m54s	154	411MB	





Dataset	Deep Blending						
Method Metric	SSIM [↑]	$PSNR^{\uparrow}$	LPIPS↓	Train	FPS	Mem	
Plenoxels	0.795	23.06	0.510	27m49s	11.2	2.7GB	
INGP-Base	0.797	23.62	0.423	6m31s	3.26	13MB	
INGP-Big	0.817	24.96	0.390	8m	2.79	48MB	
M-NeRF360	0.901	29.40	0.245	48h	0.09	8.6MB	
Ours-7K	0.875	27.78	0.317	4m35s	172	386MB	
Ours-30K	0.903	29.41	0.243	36m2s	137	676MB	



















NeRF recap

Gaussian splatting

Conclusions



Gaussian splatting:



Conclusions

Gaussian splatting:

• Old meets new



Conclusions

Gaussian splatting:

• Old meets new

• SOTA results + Efficiency



Conclusions

Gaussian splatting:

• Old meets new

• SOTA results + Efficiency

• Interpretable



